

AMANDA LISKAMM
Director, Consumer Protection Branch
MANU J. SEBASTIAN
SHEILA BERMAN
Trial Attorneys
Consumer Protection Branch
U.S. Department of Justice
450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 6400
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 514-0515
Facsimile: (202) 514-8742
E-mail: Manu.J.Sebastian@usdoj.gov

E. MARTIN ESTRADA
United States Attorney
MACK E. JENKINS
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division
VALERIE MAKAREWICZ (Cal. Bar No. 229637)
Assistant United States Attorney
Major Frauds Section
1100 United States Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-0756
Facsimile: (213) 894-6269
E-mail: Valerie.Makarewicz@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF,

Defendant.

No. 23-CR-00021-JGB

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

CURRENT TRIAL DATE: 9/3/24
PROPOSED TRIAL DATE: 2/4/25

1 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
2 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
3 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
4 parties in this matter on August 22, 2024. The Court hereby finds
5 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into
6 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the
7 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
8 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

9 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
10 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
11 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
12 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
13 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
14 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
15 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
16 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
17 diligence.

18 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

19 1. The trial in this matter is continued from September 3,
20 2024, to February 4, 2025. The status conference hearing is continued
21 to January 13, 2025.

22 2. The time period of September 3, 2024, to February 4, 2025
23 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
24 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
25 and (B)(iv).

26 3. Defendant shall appear in Courtroom 1 of the George E.
27 Brown, Jr. Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 3470
28

Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501-3801 on February 4, 2025 at 9:00 a.m.

4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE

HONORABLE JESUS G. BERNAL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

/s/
Manu J. Sebastian
Trial Attorney
Consumer Protection Branch
U.S. Department of Justice